Divine Truth Media Response

Media Response Report

Written By	Jesus (Alan John Miller)
Location Written	Wilkesdale, Queensland, Australia
Date/Time	22 September 2012
Media Outlet	Channel 7 – Sunday Night TV program
Report Date	18 September 2011
Reporter	David Millikan
Subject	"Inside Australia's chilling new cult"

<u>References</u>

This is a response to the Channel 7 program "Sunday Night", the videos of which can be found on the Internet <u>here</u>, (<u>http://au.news.yahoo.com/sunday-night/features/article/-</u> /10276027/inside-australias-chilling-new-cult/) together with a <u>transcript</u> of the program (<u>http://au.news.yahoo.com/sunday-night/transcripts/article/-/10309969/australias-chilling-new-cult/</u>).

The parts of the response that are in quotation marks and italics are quotations from the media presentation.

Parts of the unedited videos of the interviews between myself, Mary and David Millikan can be found on YouTube at the following sites:

20110116 Interview with Jesus and Mary – Informal by David Millikan 20110321 Interview With Mary - David Millikan - Channel 7 (Uncut) 20110321 Interview with Jesus - David Millikan - Channel 7 P1 20110321 Interview with Jesus - David Millikan - Channel 7 P2

All of the talks that I have given that are cited in this response can be found on the <u>Divine Truth</u> <u>Channel</u>

(<u>http://www.youtube.com/user/WizardShak/videos?sort=dd&flow=list&page=1&view=1</u>) on YouTube.

<u>Response</u>

The report given in both the "Sunday Night" video program and the accompanying internet transcription is almost entirely false in their claims about Mary and me.

The reporters, David Millikan and his cameraman, came to stay at our home for two nights free of charge, during which time we cooked and cleaned for them, gave them unrestricted access to our property, and demonstrated complete openness and honesty in dealing with them. We also

had them visit us again on a number of occasions, and some of our friends allowed them to visit their property while we were staying with our friends. We stated that we would not take legal action against Channel 7 if they purposefully lied about us and misrepresented us, a promise that Mr Millikan has taken full advantage of. We stated this because we believe that it is loving for us to give a gift of ourselves, our time and our sincerity without concern about whether others misuse the gift. How people respond to gifts is a measure of their own development in love.

The way that Channel 7 responded to the gift of our time and our hospitality has been to fabricate a series of lies about us in order to create a media "sensation", to improve their ratings and to make money. They are fully aware of the lies that they are telling but have chosen to act without integrity for the reasons stated above. I will address each of the points that they raised in the TV presentation and explain the falsifications that were contained within the program featured.

Response to the TV presentation

Accusations about the "cult" and "compound"

"Tonight, a worldwide investigation of the evil and destructive ways of a self proclaimed Messiah."

There is nothing contained within my teachings that is evil or destructive. My teachings are all provided free of charge, and describe to people the process in which they can engage in to grow in love. Since many of mankind's present definitions of love are currently erroneous, when people engage in my teachings and become more loving it creates changes in their lives. The media have observed some of these changes and termed them "destructive". However if you ask any of the people whose lives have changed as a result of growing in love, none of them would describe it as a destructive process; in fact it is exactly the opposite. I explain the differences between how the world currently views love and what love really is in the talks "20110521 Human Relationships - The World's Definition Of Love S1, 20110910 Human Relationships - The World's Definition Of Love S2" and "20110924 Human Relationships - The World's Definition Of Love S2".

"A charismatic Australian whose disciples believe that he walked this Earth 2000 years ago."

The media are also making the assumption that most of the people who listen to me believe that I am Jesus, when in fact at the moment very few of them actually do believe this. Even those interviewed in the TV program did not say for certain that they know that I am Jesus. The media has made this statement in order to create an impression that I have brainwashed the people who come to listen to my talks, when I have not. The people who come to listen to me are drawn to the Divine Truth that I teach because they can feel the truth resonate inside of themselves and they can see how effective the teachings are at changing their lives. They attend the seminars freely without my influence or marketing, and are able to leave at any time.

"Tonight we'll take you inside the Messiah's isolated compound..."

As Channel 7 is well aware, since their employees and contractors have stayed at my home, I do not have a compound. I live on my 40 acre property with my partner Mary. Channel 7 have called my home a "compound" to create fear and other emotions in potential viewers. Our home is the same as anyone else's home in the area. Nobody else lives with us although occasionally

we do have visitors to our home. As Channel 7 knows, we do not even have fences around our property. We took them all down as some wildlife were getting caught in them. Our property is just our private home.

Furthermore the people who choose to listen to my teachings are not isolating themselves from society. The large majority of the people interested in the teachings of Divine Truth do not live near to us. The ones that we know are scattered around the world, and are fully involved and productive members of their societies. All of the seminars and presentations are open to the public and people can come free of charge, or they can watch them in full on YouTube. There is no secrecy about any of the presentations, which the media are implying to sensationalise their story. Since the presentations, in their unedited form, are so freely available to anyone in the world, there is no system of monitoring who listens, who does not, and how people choose to interpret the teachings. Neither Mary nor I wish to have any of these kinds of control over these things. Just because I am saying I am Jesus does not mean that I am doing the same things that others, who have claimed to be me, are currently doing or have done in the past.

"Rick Ross: The idea that the group is coming together in a community and that Miller is developing a compound in my opinion is ominous because only the most extreme cults isolate themselves in a compound. Jonestown or a Waco Davidian group, which were two groups that ended tragically in mass suicide. This is when groups become the most extreme because the leader controls everything."

As stated above there is no compound, as Channel 7 know this because they stayed at my home. Channel 7 have clearly approached Rick Ross for his views on cults, and while they may be accurate of some organisations, Rick Ross has no understanding or knowledge of the Divine Truth that I teach. Channel 7 also made no effort to correct Rick Ross' misunderstandings. I have never met Mr Ross and he is making assumptions about me that are not based on any actual truth. The only people who have ever compared me to WACO are members of the media themselves; Channel 7 in particular. Nobody who knows me has ever made such a comparison. There is no cult or religious sect, and using this terminology is an attempt by the media to completely distort the truth.

I do not wish to have any control over the lives of people who listen to my teachings. I desire to teach them how to develop a direct and close relationship with God and how to grow in love, without any intermediary, including myself. In fact I actively discourage people from focusing on me rather than their relationship with God, and regularly advise people to connect to God rather than attempting to connect to myself. I have very little dealings in the day to day lives of the vast majority of people who come to listen to my talks. Many people have come for years to presentations but have not yet personally met either Mary or me.

An example of these teachings can be found in the talk "20100412 The Human Soul - Taking Personal Responsibility", where I describe how important it is for people to take full responsibility for every aspect of their lives, including the decisions they make with their lives and their own spiritual progression.

I also teach that from God's perspective that if you try to control somebody else then you are out of harmony with the Law of Free Will, and this will damage our souls and lead to negative consequences in our lives. Since my primary aim in life is to grow in love and grow towards God, this is a direct contradiction of my teachings and how I live my life. These teachings are described in the talk "20120421 The Human Soul – The Gift of Free Will" and "20120422 The Human Soul – The Gift of Free Will".

"Rick Ross: I explained to Jennifer that after me meeting many, many cult leaders that

claimed to be Jesus or God, how could she be so sure that Miller was in fact Jesus".

Again Rick Ross is basing all of these beliefs about me on previous experiences he has of other people, but not on myself. He is then drawing false conclusions that are not the truth because he has not actually experienced or listened to my teachings about Divine Truth. Therefore he is making his claims based on presumption and no solid foundation whatsoever.

"Rick Ross: And all that she could respond was the teachings make me feel good and there was a kind of euphoria that she experienced from listening to the tapes. And in a sense, this is a key to the way that Miller quells their critical thinking, induces the kind of self hypnosis that I have seen. They put their headphones on and they listen to their downloads for hours on end and I think this has a mind-numbing effect on them."

Here Rick Ross is suggesting that the people who listen to me are not capable of making up their own minds and in doing so is being very condescending to many very intelligent people. Many of the people who come to my seminars are very well educated people who are highly able in the area of critical thinking. What many find attractive is the fact that the Divine Truth is extremely logical and appealing to the mind as well as to our emotions. The Divine Truth is thought provoking, not mind numbing.

"DAVID MILLIKAN: I think you are at the early stages of becoming a cult leader. You're on the way, AJ. You are beginning to, look, you are beginning to gather all the means of control to yourself, you are the only source of knowledge within the group."

This accusation is actually the complete opposite of what I teach. I teach that the only way to actually learn truth is to discover it yourself, through the emotional experience of it, and then by connecting to God. I teach that God is the source of all truth, not myself, and for people to discover truth they need to connect to God. I also actively discourage people from 'taking my word' for anything. Instead I encourage them to engage a process of experimentation with the truths I teach, just as a scientist would, so that they are able to discover truth for themselves.

" Miller has an inner core of about 30 disciples who have in his words forsaken all to be with him"

I have never actually said that anyone has "forsaken all" to be with me. This statement is a complete fabrication. I do not encourage people to walk out of their families or businesses. I encourage people to follow their desires, and to not continue to work in a job that they do not like. Many people, when they start listening the these aspects of Divine Truth, come to have a greater awareness that they are not enjoying the jobs that they are currently doing, and so they decide that they do not want to do those jobs any more. This is not a result of a direct communication with me; it is a result of self discovery that they have reached themselves as a result of connecting to what their true desires are.

This statement is also amusing, considering that Mr Millikan's Jesus is quoted saying in the Bible at Matthew 16:24; "Then Jesus said to his disciples, "Whoever wants to be my disciple must deny themselves and take up their cross and follow me." So, if I did ask people to follow me, I would actually be consistent with the Bible's Jesus own statements. It is interesting that Mr Millikan does not condemn Jesus for something he actually said (in his own belief system), while at the same time, he condemns myself for supposedly saying the same thing, when I have actually never said it.

In addition, I could not say that I have any disciples, since, at the moment, no person I know who has been coming to the seminars I give is actually practicing completely what I am

teaching. I also find it interesting that on one hand the media wishes to claim that I have an "inner core" of people surrounding me, of around 30 disciples, when at the same time they are saying that I am dangerous to the entire world. The inconsistency of the reports attempting to show that no-one in their right mind would listen, and that there are only a few people who actually listen to my teachings, while at the same time claiming that I have begun a "dangerous and destructive" sect potentially affecting many; besides being untrue, is just an attempt to manipulate the public with false information. The reality is that I have no idea how many people listen to my teachings, since they are available free on YouTube. We have also given away over one hundred thousand DVD's, and since there is no copyright on anything that we produce, we do not know how many of those have been copied and re-produced.

This statement is another attempt by Channel 7 to manipulate the public into thinking that I am attempting to break down the fabric of modern society by ruining marriages and businesses, or demanding that others follow me. What I am doing is encouraging people to discover for themselves what they want to do with their lives, rather than living their lives according to what they feel they ought to do, and then to live in the world, but take actions towards themselves and others in harmony with Love. I have no personal investment as to whether people choose to stay in their marriages or jobs or not. In the end, if people wish to become at-one with God, they will need to do very similar things than what I have done to become at-one with God. This is a personal choice available to all persons, just as it was a personal choice for me.

"DAVID MILLIKAN: To me these words sound like rehashed new age pop psychology, but for these people they burn the light of Divine Truth."

Mr Millikan is now resorting to insults about the teachings surrounding the Divine Truth. These teachings are the way to connect to God and to improve our lives infinitely. Mr Millikan is being condescending and disdainful of the teachings that hold the key to changing and improving our lives for the rest of our existence, since he personally does not share the beliefs. He personally has the right to his own opinions. He is also being condescending and disdainful to the people who listen to the teachings, which he also has a right to do, if he so wishes. All his comments do is prove his own lack of development in love and understanding about God rather than anything substantial.

Accusations that I claim I am God

"Rick Ross: Basically Miller sets himself up as God, he is Jesus Christ, he is a God man and if you disagree with him, you disagree with God. So if you have a spouse or a family member and they are critical of Miller, they have come against God and therefore they are not spiritually right for you. Whereas Miller might say they are not your soul mate."

And later on:

"AJ Miller has not only convinced many ordinary Australians that he is the son of God..."

Channel 7 and David Millikan are well aware that I do not set myself up as God. We discussed this issue at length. The media are making the assertion that because I say that I am Jesus then I am saying that I am God, but this is based on falsities that are taught by the Bible. I am a son of God, just as every human on Earth is a son or daughter of God. I am not part of God, although in the first century I did become Divine in nature, when I become at-one with God. So I see myself as a brother and equal to all other humans on Earth and in the spirit world.

I do not require people to agree with me, and in fact many people who interact with me daily do not agree with me on many subjects. It is clear from any of the presentations that I have given that I am open to being questioned and disagreed with regularly. I do not suggest that if people disagree with me that they are going "against God" or that they will be punished by God in any way, which is what the media statement is implying. (I also feel that even the belief in a punishing God is completely in error and actually blasphemous against God). This is another attempt by the media to portray me as controlling and manipulative, when they themselves are attempting to manipulate and control the public through their lies and deceit.

"For many years, Miller made his money from land development and a computer business. But now, he believes he holds the salvation of the world in his hands."

I do not claim to hold the salvation of the world in my hands. This statement is a method that the media are using in order to give the impression that I put myself above others, when I do not. I see myself as equal to everybody else, because I am their brother. However the Divine Truth that I teach, if it reaches the hearts of people who listen, has the ability to change them into more loving people, and will "save the world" if most people embraced it. But this is each persons own choice. If this is embraced by many people worldwide then this can also change the world in a positive manner. In the end, it is the desire growing within each person within the world to become more loving with others and themselves that will 'save the world', as the media puts it.

Accusations about a "doomsday prophesy"

"... where he's preparing his followers, including children, for the apocalyptic end of the world."

And later on in the presentation:

"In the dramatic conclusion... you'll also learn of Miller's doomsday prophesy for all of us."

I do not believe or teach that there will be any doomsday or apocalyptic end of the world. I have stated this directly to Mr Millikan. In fact, I have publicly expressed that I believe the future can be very good for all humanity, but that this will depend upon what humanity collectively chooses. I do feel that events will take place on the Earth that could be severe in terms of geographical changes, as I state later on in the television program. However I do not believe that this is the end of the world or the end of human civilisation or life. In addition, to my recollection, I have never discussed this information directly with a child, although I would be willing to do so if a child asked.

The media are taking these statements that I have made about my current feelings about potential changes to the Earth out of context in order to give the impression that I am running some kind of cult that is predicting the end of the world, because in the past there have been cults that have had such belief systems. They involve children in the statement to accuse me of brainwashing children.

"DAVID MILLIKAN: With money from his believers Miller is acquiring properties here and around the world. He calls them sanctuaries in preparation for the great cataclysm he's prophesying will engulf the planet. From the chaos he will emerge the saviour."

This is a complete lie. The only property I own is my two bedroom home, which is located 36kms outside of Kingaroy, and this is where I live with Mary. I purchased this property with my

own funds before any person donated any funds to me. This is a very humble property, as any visitor to the property can see.

There are several properties that are located around the world, in Australia and Sweden currently, that other people personally own and manage, that Mary and myself visit occasionally at the request of the owners of those properties. The owners wish to bring their properties into more harmony with what I teach, and so they ask us for our advice about the running of these properties. These people do not donate the property to the God's Way Of Love organization, nor to myself or Mary. They continue to own the property and manage it how they see fit.

However, they have requested that the God's Way of Love Organisation, and Mary and I specifically, give them personal advice. They wish to use their properties with the objective that they are used as a place to demonstrate the principles of God's Love in practice. Mary and I do not own the properties, but rather we advise practices that can be done on those properties to improve and restore the ecosystems in a way that is harmonious with Divine Truth. I have described this in the talk "20111008 God's Way of Love – Constitution Changes". The owners of these properties can stop following our teachings at any time without any penalty of any kind. They have and retain complete ownership and control over their own properties.

I have never stated anywhere that the properties that have been donated for use to the God's Way of Love Organisation, or that they are any form of preparation for a "doomsday". The actual owners of these properties see them as long term projects used to teach any person who desires how to bring a property into more harmony with the principles of love. These media comments are an attempt by the media to sensationalise the report in order to gain attraction and ratings, but at the same time the claims are completely false.

Accusations about me preying on emotionally vulnerable people

"DAVID MILLIKAN: What is happening here is AJ is talking about emotions and most religions are suspicious of emotions. They see faith as essentially a thing of the mind but emotions take us to the heart of AJ's teachings. What he is doing is setting up a sort of spiral where people get dragged down and down and down and people are asked to plumb the depth of their emotions from which many of them can never escape."

And later on:

"DAVID MILLIKAN: If I gather 10 of your people and start talking to them, within a minute they are talking about the struggle and just about everyone I talk to is on the verge of tears."

The suggestion that people are being dragged into some kind of emotional spiral is completely inaccurate and also totally impossible; because once we release a negative emotion it is removed from us forever, and we never need to experience that emotion again. It is also completely untrue that people "can never escape" from the depths of their emotions. Once a true causal emotion is experienced it is released for good.

A core part of the Divine Truth teachings is humility, which includes a willingness to experience all emotions - both positive and negative emotions (which I describe in the talk "20091114 Relationship with God – Humility"). Humility is essential in order to form a close relationship with God, because our entire relationship with God is based around emotions, and therefore if we choose not to feel our emotions, we are at the same time choosing not to connect to God. "One of his techniques to draw people in is to demand that they find emotional trauma in their family history." (Followed by a clip of AJ talking to a young man, named Josh through experiencing some childhood rage)

And further on in the presentation:

"DAVID MILLIKAN: A lot of your teachings are about addictions, about emotions, about fear, about struggle, about...You take people into a sort of holocaust, an emotional holocaust." (Shows a clip of an older man, Brian, processing anger with a hose on a phone book)

(18 mins - A clip of Mary showing how to get into some emotions from one of the DVDs after a clip of her saying that her family didn't agree with how she wanted to live her life)

It is impossible for me to implant emotions into other people. The world is currently in an unloving state, and there are many unloving emotions within us, many of which entered us as children, from the unloving treatment from our environment, which included our parents, that create our unhappiness. I describe a process in which people can access these emotions and release them, and this will lead to their long-term happiness.

The media have focused in this program on filming any person who is experiencing emotion in the audience of my talks, in order to prey on the fears of the public about experiencing emotions; emotions such as rage, terror and grief. Storing these emotions inside of us blocks our relationship with God, and therefore it is important to release these emotions from us in order to grow in love and form a closer relationship with God. On each occasion when the media showed an audience member crying, there were at least 100 to 200 other people in the audience who were sitting there quite happily, but the cameras did not show them because that would reduce the impact of the sensation that the media is attempting to create.

"AJ Miller: Feel the disillusion, the disillusion about the search."

The media have gone through many of my talks that are freely available on YouTube and have taken many of the clips out of context, in order to manipulate the public into thinking that I am creating a group of emotionally vulnerable people whom I wish to control. The above statement was part of a seminar (20110319 The Human Soul – Addictions & Bribery, Fear, Threats & Blackmail), which can be viewed in full on YouTube <u>here</u>. In this presentation I explained how we need to release all emotions that create pain within us in order to form a closer relationship with God. One of those emotions is disillusionment.

Accusations about "targeting" children

"And children are being caught in Miller's web as well"

And later on:

"This is one of Miller's DVDs. Not only is he targeting vulnerable adults, he's also targeting children into his warped world." (Shows clips from the Buderim Q&A session. Jesus is laughing and playing with children, foreboding music has been added in the background)

There is no "web" that I have created. I simply give free talks about love and truth. People are free to come and go from my seminars as they please. Some of the people who come to my talks have children, and on one single occasion, in the talk "20091128 – General Discussion Q&A

from People in Buderim" I invited the children to ask questions because I often find that children's questions are more open and pure, and not driven or restricted by fears of what other people will think about them. The media have shown a clip of part of this presentation with foreboding music in the background, in order to create a feeling of fear in the watching public. If you watch the video presentation in full you can see that I am not manipulating the children at all, but rather I am merely answering some of the questions that they had.

Accusations about splitting up families

"Jodie who left her husband to follow Miller..."

While Jodie did leave her husband, it was not for the purpose of following me. She was already listening to my talks, and so was her husband. Both of them still attend my seminars, and they are still on good terms. The media are falsifying the truth by implying that my teachings have been the cause of their marriage break up, when in fact they are both still regular attendees at my seminars, and I was not the cause of their decision to dissolve their own marriage.

In addition, I know both Jodie and her ex-husband Justin very well. They are both good friends, and they also maintain a good friendship with each other. In actual fact, it was Justin who first decided that he did not wish to remain in the marriage, not Jodie. But once Jodie made the decision to leave the marriage because of Justin expressing his desire that it be dissolved, Justin became personally unsure of his decision. All of this happened without both of them talking to either myself or Mary about the situation. We gave them no personal advice before or during the process, aside from recommending to them that they remain loving with each other through the process.

"Miller's reach is international. In San Francisco I met Dhughaighn MacMhuirch whose wife Jennifer fell under Miller's spell. Jennifer was introduced to Miller's teachings by two Australian friends and became captivated. She would watch and listen up to five hours a day.

Dhughaighn: Every day there would be more downloads and it was continuous. I watched Jen over the course of a month or so basically become so absorbed in it that it seemed to be the whole focus. For her it was everything that she would be listening to. She came to me with tears and said to me, "Dhughaighn, he really is Jesus." "AJ really is Jesus.""

Firstly, at the time of the interview, I had never met either Jennifer or her husband. I did not know how Jennifer found out about the teachings, nor did I actually know her personally or about her decision to attempt to follow the teachings.

Of course, it is very difficult for me to cast a spell on someone without me personally knowing them, having never met them. I do not have a "spell". This is another manipulative attempt by the media to create a story that is based upon untruths. I merely give free presentations where I talk about love. Many people find these presentations on YouTube, or through some other process that I am not personally involved in; and they find these talks very inspiring, and also uplifting, because it is often very rewarding emotionally to find the answer to many questions that we have been asking for a long time.

I do not recommend that people listen to my talks for hours or days at a time. I recommend they live their lives by following their desires, and living in harmony with Truth and Love. In fact, I believe that the material contained within each talk often takes many months or even years to correctly digest. I have no control over what other people choose to do with their life or

their time (in fact, if I did, then perhaps I would be starting a cult, just as the media states).

After watching the material, many people do have an initial feeling that I am Jesus. However, over a period of time, that feeling usually diminishes and is replaced by doubt, because it is very difficult for an individual person to accept everything I state in my seminars without there being some resistance.

"Dhughaighn: 'It's torn my life to pieces, I have lost my wife, I have lost my home, I have lost my family. It's been tremendously devastating and yet he is calling this Divine Love. If Miller walked into the room right now and they way I feel right at this point, initially I'd have him up against the wall and I would look at him right in the eyes and rip the (bleep) throat right out.

Firstly, if Dhughaighn had lost his wife, his home, and his family, then I would understand perhaps, some of his anger. However, since this interview I have met Jennifer, and she actually feels that she wants to be with her husband, but it is his level of rage towards her and her choices that she finds unacceptable. Her husband does not allow her to follow her heart without attacking her choices and expressing violence towards others, and this frightens her. She does not want to live with him while he demonstrates this attitude. However, I have only found this out from her many months after the interview, when I eventually met her personally and asked her what had actually happened.

Of course, Mr Millikan did have a chance to find out what was going on, because by the time of the interview, we did have a friend who knew Jennifer personally, and could have given him the means to contact her. However, he was willing to fly half way around the world to get the angry man's story, while at the same time unwilling to find out the story from the woman's perspective.

DAVID MILLIKAN: Unbeknown to her husband she began donating money to Miller and communicating by phone and email. Miller raised doubts about whether her husband was her soul mate.

This is completely false. I had never raised any doubts with Jennifer about her husband being her soulmate, since I had not had any personal discussions with her. In addition, I do not generally know who donates money to Mary and me, since most of the donations we receive are anonymous. If Jennifer did send us money without her husband knowing, then that is her own unloving behaviour expressed towards her husband. However, due to the amount of false claims by both Mr Millikan and Jennifer's own husband in this report, I find it difficult to believe the other claims.

Dhughaighn: Apparently there is some requirement for soul mates according to AJ Miller, which brought into our relationship the innuendo of doubt as to whether or not she and I were soul mates. It was as if a third party sitting right between us all the time and I was watching Jennifer basically just disappear.

Many persons who first hear the teachings about soul mates go through an emotional experience, where they do not know who their soul mate is, and they ask themselves that question. However, with regard to the teaching, I am only expressing the truth about how the soul is constructed as two halves, and that we each have another half who is actually the other half of ourselves. Many other teachings on earth believe this, right back from 1000's of years ago when people such as Aristotle presented such viewpoints, and yet they are not attacked for presenting such teachings.

DAVID MILLIKAN: Within five months Jennifer left Dhughaighn and planned to fly to Australia to be with Miller."

And later on:

"DAVID MILLIKAN: That puts a tremendous pressure, marriages are breaking up around you because of this teaching about soul mates."

And later on:

DAVID MILLIKAN: You are separating people away from their families.

The report makes it sound like Jennifer was already in Australia, and had moved to Australia to be with me. However this is false. Firstly, I had not seen Jennifer at this point. In addition, she could not move to Australia to be with me, because only Mary and I live at our private home. We have no other people living with us, because we have a strong desire for personal privacy. Jennifer had not contacted us about living with us, or being with us. She still has not done so.

We met her at a seminar a few months after this report went to air, and we have since gotten to know her and her personal feelings about her husband. The last time I spoke with her directly, which was a few months ago, she was still of the opinion that her husband was her soul mate, but that she could not be with him because of his tendency towards violence and his inability to listen to her.

I do not teach that people should leave their relationships based on whether they think they are with their soul mate or not. I actually encourage people to stay in their relationships and to work through issues while they are in their relationships, in a loving manner. In fact I teach it is immoral to leave a relationship based on a thought that the person may not be your soul mate, since I teach that relationships should be based upon love. However, it is moral to leave a relationship if love is not felt between the parties, or if one party treats the other with rage, anger or other such emotions consistently.

Most people who listen to my teachings are still in the same relationships that they were in when they met me. There are some people who listen to my teachings who have left their relationships, but they have done so on their own accord, not due to any direct consultation that I have had with them. In fact, it is rare for me to counsel people about their personal relationships, especially when both parties are not present. I do not teach people to leave their marriages, but I do help people to examine within themselves what is going on emotionally for themselves, and within their marriage. On some occasions this causes people to re-evaluate whether they would like to stay in the marriage that they are in, and to examine whether their marriage is still based on love. I do not advise people to leave relationships because of different spiritual belief systems or based upon whether they think they are soul mates because this is immoral. I described this in the talk "20120513 The Human Soul – Ethics and Morality".

Most of this part of this presentation about Jennifer and Dhughaighn was a fictitious presentation played by actors, one of which was a wife and husband having an argument. This was again shown with foreboding music being played in the background. This is another way that the media are manipulating the public – they are showing a scene that is not an actual event, and in that way placing a strong suggestion in the public that it is actually what happened, when there is no evidence to show that that is the case. Their use of music is also just a way in which to create fear in the viewing public, and to create a mood of evil and manipulation, when in fact it is the media that are doing the manipulating through the entire program.

Also, as I previously suggested, I also recommended to Mr Millikan that he interview both parties of the relationship in question. At the time of the interview, Mary and I had met neither Jennifer nor her husband. However, Mr Millikan purposefully ignored this suggestion, since if he found there was a different story, he would not be able to inflame the public with it.

Accusations about my convincing Mary and others that they are reincarnated

"The leader is this man Alan John Miller. And, he's collecting disciples. Miller has convinced them that they were with him at his crucifixion."

And later on:

"DAVID MILLIKAN: They're are hundreds more who believe he is Jesus and many thousands who are intrigued by his teachings. And there is a special 13, his chosen apostles, who Miller says have been reincarnated to spread his message. At Murgon I met Cornelius and Jodie who left her husband to follow Miller. Both believe they lived at the time of Christ."

I am not "collecting disciples". I am giving presentations and seminars for free to whomever wishes to come and listen. Of the thousands of people who currently attend my seminars, there are two people who come to my seminars who were present at my crucifixion in the first century, but I did not convince them of this. They have had those memories come back to them of their own accord, through a process of working through the emotional injuries that were causing them to suppress those emotions. The memories were not something I have "implanted" in their minds, because they had them before I met them individually.

In addition, just because another person claims to be one of the 14 people who have returned from the spirit world, this does not mean that I agree with their claim. For example, I do not believe, nor have I ever personally believed that Jodie Crick, who has made such a claim, to be one of the 14 who has returned to earth. I have not before stated that publicly, since I did not feel it was my right to do so until Jodie herself had worked through the personal reasons why she believed she was one of the 14 who has returned. Now that she feels quite definite she is not one of the 14, I can state that publicly. I do not manipulate other people's opinions, even when I disagree with them. I give them time to make up their own minds by working through their own emotions.

In addition, I have never taught that the 14 who have returned are "special" in any way. I believe each of us is just another human on this planet, and that we neither have more rights than others, or are higher in God's estimation.

Interestingly, there are many religions on the planet currently where the leader of those religions is being revered as being more holy than the rest of us. The Catholic Church, Buddhism, and other religions are among such mainstream religions doing this. However, I do not see Mr Millikan condemning such religions, because, if he did so, he would probably be no longer recognized as a reasonable man. So he has established himself as a "cult" expert, while at the same time ignoring some of the largest cults on the planet.

I teach that the 14 are the only people on the planet who have ever reincarnated, and this teaching confronts the many billions of people on the planet who do actually believe in reincarnation as taught by the mainstream eastern religions.

"DAVID MILLIKAN: A former aid worker she was born Mary Luck but AJ has convinced her that she is Mary Magdalene."

I have not convinced Mary that she is Mary Magdalene. In fact, when we first met, Mary attempted to convince me that I was not Jesus, while at the same time saying to me that she refused to have any discussions with me about being Mary Magdalene, and I accepted her terms for a friendship. Mary has had to come to terms with her remembering her identity through experiencing her emotions. It is impossible for me to implant emotions and memories into her.

DAVID MILLIKAN: How many Mary Magdalene has there been in your life?

AJ Miller: There is only one Mary Magdalene in my life.

DAVID MILLIKAN: But there has been others. Is it two, three...?

AJ Miller: No. No, you're not asking me, you are telling me.

DAVID MILLIKAN: Alright, I am asking you. OK. Did you tell other girls before Mary that they were Mary Magdalene.

AJ Miller: I...I told, um, yes, definitely one girl."

And later on:

"Rick Ross: And so he says, "Well, this women is Mary Magdalene, "wait a minute, no, this women is Mary Magdalene."

I have on one occasion, several years before I met Mary Luck, thought that another woman was my soul mate, but after I worked through some more of my emotional injuries I discovered that this was not the case. Other people have told me that other women are my soul mate, but, once I met them, I knew they were not. Since that time, I have not felt that anyone was my soul mate other than Mary Luck. In addition, I had remained celibate for a period of over 4 years after I had personally resolved that the woman I believed was my soul mate was not. There is the suggestion that I say to women that they are my soul mate in order to have sex with them, and this is not true. This is just another way the media attempt to discredit what I am teaching.

Until a person develops in love, they may feel a special connection with a certain person who is not their soul mate. I have personally found that this happens due to emotional addictions that are present between the two persons. If a person works through these addictions genuinely, as I have done, they will eventually get to the place where they are only sexually attracted to one other person in the universe, and they will not desire a relationship with any other. I desired no relationship for a period of 4 years with any woman until I met Mary Luck.

Attacks on my character

"Rick Ross: Mr Miller seems to use his position as Jesus to get sexual favours from women that he attracted to."

And later on:

"Rick Ross: It reminds me of many so-called cult leaders that I have run into over the years who use their position of spiritual authority to get want they want sexually..."

I have personally met some of these men who are like Rick Ross describes, so I do know that such men exist. I have met men in spiritual circles who only attend some spiritual circles in order to develop sexual relationships with women. So I do agree with Rick Ross than many so-called spiritual men and women use their position for sexual favors. I have discussed such people in the talk "20120226 Spirit Relationships - Spirit Influence S2 (Of Other Spirits)" especially in the second part of that talk.

When Mr Ross compares me with such men, he is just going by his own experience with other men. This claim by the media is just an attempt to put down my character, and turn me into a sexual deviant. I find it quite amusing because, in my personal life, I have and still am often condemned as a sexual prude. In fact, I have had many people get up and leave during my talks when I talk about sexual morality, and they have complained to me that my moral stance on sexual behavior is prudish and unacceptable to them.

I have an entirely monogamous relationship with Mary, and have not been involved sexually with any other woman who listens currently or who has listened to my teachings in the past. I have no desire to engage sexually with any woman apart from Mary. And aside from that this claim is in direct conflict with moral teachings that I give about sexuality, which I have described in the talks "20120513 The Human Soul – Ethics and Morality" and "20110625 The Human Soul – Sexual Attraction".

"Dhughaighn: I am really, really, really amazed by just the stunning arrogance that this man has to claim what he is claiming. I mean, he is about his...How does one say... I don't think he would know Jesus if Jesus came and bit him on the arse."

Dhughaighn has never actually met me and is making attacks about my character. In addition, Jesus will never bite me on the arse, because I am unable to contort my body enough to do that to myself (laughs). This criticism is based on no personal experience of myself, but rather is an angry response to his wife leaving him.

"DAVID MILLIKAN: Before he became Jesus Miller was a Jehovah's Witness Pastor but fell out with the Church after an incident with a prostitute."

As Mr Millikan knows, since I described what happened to him in detail in the unedited version of the interview that he did with me (20110321 Interview with Jesus - David Millikan - Channel 7 P1), I chose to leave the Jehovah Witness religion due to changes were occurring in my personal life that I felt prevented me from ethically staying with the Jehovah's Witness faith. The "incident with a prostitute" was an occasion where I invited a prostitute to a hotel room in order to enable my wife to get a divorce from me by giving her an excuse to divorce me, since I realized that we both no longer loved each other, and this action would make it easy for her to divorce me for a reason accepted by her own religious beliefs. However nothing actually happened with the prostitute aside from herself and I having a conversation about prostitution generally, and I wanted to understand her own personal involvement in the industry. She had a similar group of emotions to my own soul mate in her first century experience.

"DAVID MILLIKAN: On one level AJ Miller is just a buffoon (Clip of AJ having a scream to show how to express some anger in one of the Eudlo DVDs) on another level he has found the ingredient that make men like him dangerous. If he goes on he will continue to

separate parents from the their children, wives from their husbands, and take money from his followers.

Well, I am often a buffoon, and hopefully people can see my own sense of humour. Mr Millikan is just attempting to insult my character. I am not dangerous or manipulative, and certainly, when compared to Mr Millikan or any other member of the media I have met up until now, I do not have the guile needed to become dangerous, or as manipulative, as they are themselves. As I have explained above I do not control people's lives, or separate families.

However, I do find it interesting that Mr Millikan is an ordained minister of a Christian religion who accepts as its leader someone who is supposed to have said, as recorded in the Bible in Matthew 10:34-36; "Do not suppose that I have come to bring peace to the Earth. I did not come to bring peace, but a sword. For I have come to turn a man against his father, a daughter against her mother, a daughter-in-law against her mother-in-law— a man's enemies will be the members of his own household." (New International Version).

I find it outstanding hypocrisy that the same man who claims that I am destroying families, and condemns me for actions I have never taken, also claims to worship a man whom he claims to be God, and whom he believes said the above words. Apparently the Jesus of the Bible is allowed to destroy families, but the modern day Jesus needs to be condemned for the same supposed behaviour. I am sure that someone in the future will claim I cannot be Jesus because I am not destroying families! Mr Millikan's position is two-faced and deceitful, and taken just to inflame the public and manipulate them while he himself has a different set of beliefs, which we have discussed. I actually mentioned this to him in the recorded interview, but of course, that piece of information was mostly left on the editing room floor.

"Rick Ross: It reminds me of many so-called cult leaders that I have run into over the years who use their position of spiritual authority to get want they want... financially, in whatever means they wish from that people that become their followers."

All of my presentations, and all of the Divine Truth that we distribute, are provided for free. While there is a donation box at the back of the room when I give seminars, there is no obligation for people to donate, and there is no collection. Without donations freely given, we cannot continue doing our work. Mary and I do live off the donations given by people, but we will also return donations to people if we feel that they are not given in the spirit of love or if there is any kind of requirement of us in return, since this is not a true gift. Nor do we accept donations from people who are short of money themselves. I give the gift of my time to others. Some of them feel to give me a gift in return, and the mechanism for that to happen is provided, but the gift is not solicited. Mary and I also declare these gifts as income to the government, and pay taxation on the gifts we receive, contrary to other people's claims.

I am a qualified computing professional, and Mary is a qualified Occupational Therapist. If we do not receive enough gifts to survive, we are able to work in a different way to obtain income. However, at the moment, through the generosity of many of those who listen to our teachings, we receive enough gifts from people to live and travel to teach the Divine Truth to others, free of charge. I challenge any person who criticizes me in this regard to setup their own life in the same manner, and see what emotions are challenged within themselves to live such a life before they criticize Mary and I about how we receive funds to support our life.

I find the media claims on this matter hypocritical in this regard also. Mr Millikan has lived most of his life as a paid minister of religion. Almost all ministers of all Christian religions have a collection plate passed around into which people donate for the minister's financial support, and therefore money is solicited. In addition, most ministers of most protestant religions (with few exceptions) are paid. They are paid a wage. Most of them would not have the courage to live their life as I live mine, relying only on donations that are given unsolicited. I am actually being criticized for something that demonstrates more personal courage and ethics than they are capable of demonstrating in their own personal lives. Apparently a person who does things for free is more untrustworthy than a person who is doing something for money. Such is the world we live in.

In the first century, we also received donations. This is clearly expressed even in the Bible. It is interesting again that the Jesus of the first century is allowed to receive donations without criticism, but the modern day Jesus is treated as if he is untrustworthy for doing so. Again, the hypocrisy of the minister is outstanding.

In addition, the media itself seems to only report on matters that will increase its own viewer base, and therefore potentially increase its own revenue through advertising. There is a general public fear about organizations taking money from individuals or about being ripped off financially, and I can understand why such fears exist when there are many persons who are willing to treat other people badly when it comes to financial matters. In my situation, the media only wishes to connect to this fear, rather than present the truth that I am an ethical man when it comes to financial matters, as all persons who deal with me financially will attest to.

<u>Conclusion</u>

This TV programme and accompanying article is another attempt by Channel 7 to create a sensational story out of a large body of lies and fabrication. They are taking what they know has created media interest in the past, and also what they know are lies about my personal circumstances; including such references to doomsday cults, and are creating stories that are fictitious to manipulate the public into experiencing fears about me and my teachings. They are using these lies and manipulations as tools in an attempt to create a story that bears no relation to the actual truth, for the purpose of getting higher ratings and making money.

After this report was aired, we were verbally attacked by many people who believed that the media would not lie. When we replied to these people that most of the information was untrue, most people refused to believe that the media could be so willing to lie directly without something being done about it. As a result, many people still believe these untruths, and we believe that this was the underlying motive of Mr Millikan; to ensure that people would not listen to anything we teach by lying about what we teach.

Any person who is open to discovering the Truth will need to question the underlying motives that the media seems to have to distort the truth or present actual lies in order to maintain their power base. This seems to be a general problem in the media. I am personally looking forward to meeting a media professional who does not do this, since I believe now that such a person will be a rare find indeed.

At the moment, many members of the media and public are accusing me of the same things I was accused of in the first century, with the only difference being that they are using a different physical medium. This demonstrates how little things have really changed in 2000 years of human history. Hopefully change will begin to happen soon, when the general public realize they are being manipulated daily by the media, and desire that to change because the public is ready to face the truth.